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## 1. Introduction

It has long been of interest to find methods for constructing nearest points or best approximations in normed linear spaces. More precisely, if $X$ is a normed linear space and $M$ is a closed convex subset, then one seeks methods for constructing, for each $f$, points $g^{*}$ in $M$ such that $\left\|f-g^{*}\right\| \leqslant\|f-g\|$, for all $g \in M$.

We are concerned here with the space $C_{L^{p}}(T), p=2 m, 2 \leqslant m \leqslant \infty$, i.e., the space of all real valued continuous functions, defined on the compact measurable subset $T$ of $n$ space, endowed with the $L^{p}$ norm. Furthermore, we choose $M$ to be a finite-dimensional linear subspace. In Section 2 we introduce a new method for constructing nearest points in case $m$ is finite. Using the results of Section 2, we give, in Section 3, a method for constructing nearest points in the $L^{\infty}$ norm. This method differs significantly from the Pólya algorithm [1] by not requiring the $L^{p}$ approximations, $p$ finite, to be actually computed. A subsequent article will treat applications, complex valued functions, and some subsets $M$, which are not linear subspaces.
Throughout the paper, we restrict ourselves to the situation where the linear subspace $M$ and the point $f$ satisfy the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \neq g(x), \quad \text { a.e., for all } g \in M \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall make use of the notation

$$
\|h\|_{L^{2}, w}=\left[\int_{T} h^{2}(t) w(t) d t\right]^{1 / 2} .
$$

[^0]2. $L^{p}$ Norms, $p=2 m, 2 \leqslant m<\infty$

For such a $p$, and $M$ and $f$ satisfying (1), we construct inductively the sequence of approximations

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}, h_{0}, g_{1}, h_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}, h_{n}, \ldots \quad\left(g_{0} \text { given }\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as follows. Given $g_{n}$, then $h_{n} \in M$ is uniquely defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f-h_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}, w} \leqslant\|f-g\|_{L^{2}, w}, \quad \text { for all } g \in M, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w=\left(f-g_{n}\right)^{p-2}$. Given $h_{n}$, then $g_{n+1}$ is uniquely defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n+1}=g_{n}+\lambda_{n}\left(h_{n}-g_{n}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{n}$ minimizes $\left\|f-g_{n}-\lambda\left(h_{n}-g_{n}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}}$ over all real $\lambda$. We note that (3) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T}\left[f(t)-g_{n}(t)\right]^{p-2}\left[f(t)-h_{n}(t)\right] g(t) d t=0, \quad \text { for all } g \in M \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $h_{n}$ can be found by solving a finite number of linear equations. We also note that $g_{n+1}$ is found by minimizing the convex function $\phi(\lambda)=\left\|f-g_{n}-\lambda\left(h_{n}-g_{n}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}},-\infty<\lambda<+\infty$.

The unique point in $M$ which lies nearest to $f$ in the $L^{p}$ norm, $p$ finite, is denoted by $G_{p}$; thus,

$$
\left\|f-G_{p}\right\|_{L^{p}} \leqslant\|f-g\|_{L^{p}}, \quad \text { for all } g \in M
$$

The following theorem holds.
Theorem 1. Let $p=2 m, 2 \leqslant m<\infty$, and let $f$ and $M$ satisfy (1). Then for any initial approximation $g_{0} \in M$, the sequence (2) satisfies the following:

1. For each $n$, either $g_{n}=G_{p}$ or

$$
\left\|f-g_{n+\mathbf{1}}\right\|_{L^{p}}<\left\|f-g_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}}
$$

2. $h_{n}, g_{n} \rightarrow G_{p}$, uniformly, as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
3. for each $n$, either $g_{n}=G_{p}$ or

$$
\left\|f-h_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}, w} \leqslant\left\|f-G_{p}\right\|_{L^{2}, w}<\left\|f-g_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}, w}
$$

where $w=\left(f-g_{n}\right)^{p-2}$.

Proof. Part 1. By construction, $\left\|f-g_{n+1}\right\|_{L^{p}} \leqslant\left\|f-g_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}}$. If equality holds then by differentiation we find

$$
\int_{T}\left(f(t)-g_{n}(t)\right)^{p-1}\left(h_{n}(t)-g_{n}(t)\right) d t=0 .
$$

Combining this with (5), we derive

$$
\int_{T}\left(f(t)-g_{n}(t)\right)^{p} d t=\int_{T}\left(f(t)-g_{n}(t)\right)^{p-2}\left(f(t)-h_{n}(t)\right)^{2} d t .
$$

By the uniqueness of $h_{n}$, this implies that $h_{n}=g_{n}$. Substituting in (5), we find

$$
\int_{T}\left(f(t)-g_{n}(t)\right)^{p-1} g(t) d t=0, \quad \text { for all } g \in M,
$$

which implies that $g_{n}=G_{p}$; thus, Part 1 is completed.
Part 2. It can be shown that the sequence (2) is bounded. By virtue of this and the uniqueness of $G_{p}$, it is sufficient to show that if subsequences $\left\{g_{n_{k}}\right\}$ and $\left\{h_{n_{k}}\right\}$ converge uniformly to $g^{*}$ and $h^{*}$, respectively, then $g^{*}=h^{*}=G_{p}$. To this end, we note that from (5) and the uniform convergence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T}\left[f(t)-g^{*}(t)\right]^{p-2}\left[f(t)-h^{*}(t)\right] g(t) d t=0, \quad \text { for all } g \in M . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now suppose that $\left\|f-g^{*}-\lambda^{*}\left(h^{*}-g^{*}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}}<\left\|f-g^{*}\right\|_{L^{p}}$, for some $\lambda^{*}$. Then, by uniform convergence, $\left\|f-g_{n_{k}}-\lambda^{*}\left(h_{n_{k}}-g_{n_{k}}\right)\right\|_{L^{D}}<\left\|f-g^{*}\right\|_{L^{p}}$, for some $k$. By definition, $\left\|f-g_{n_{k}+1}\right\|_{L^{p}} \leqslant\left\|f-g_{n_{k}}-\lambda^{*}\left(h_{n_{k}}-g_{n_{k}}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}}$, and by Part 1 above, $\left\|f-g^{*}\right\|_{L^{p}} \leqslant\left\|f-g_{n_{k+1}}\right\|_{L^{p}} \leqslant\left\|f-g_{n_{k}+1}\right\|_{L^{p}}$. Thus, we arrive at the contradiction: $\left\|f-g_{n_{k}+1}\right\|_{L^{p}}<\left\|f-g_{n_{k}+1}\right\|_{L^{p}}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f-g^{*}\right\|_{L^{p}} \leqslant\left\|f-g^{*}-\lambda\left(h^{*}-g^{*}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}}, \quad \text { for all } \lambda \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of (6) and (7), we can apply the proof of Part 1 to conclude that $g^{*}=h^{*}=G_{p}$. This proves Part 2.

Part 3. If $g_{n} \neq G_{p}$, then the inequality $\left\|f-h_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}, w} \leqslant\left\|f-G_{p}\right\|_{L^{2}, w}$, where $w=\left(f-g_{n}\right)^{p-2}$, follows from the definition of $h_{n}$. The second inequality $\left\|f-G_{\boldsymbol{p}}\right\|_{L^{2}, w}<\left\|f-g_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}, w}$ follows by a direct application of Hölder's inequality. This completes the proof.

## 3. $L^{\infty}$ NORM

Given $f$ and $M$ satisfying (1), we construct inductively the sequence of approximations

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2}, H_{4}, \ldots, H_{2 m}, \ldots \quad \text { ( } H_{2} \text { given) } \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

as follows. Given $H_{2(m-1)}, H_{2 m}$ is found by constructing the finite sequence

$$
H_{2(m-1)}=g_{0}, h_{0}, \ldots, g_{n}, h_{n}, \ldots, g_{k(m)}, h_{k(m)}=H_{2 m}
$$

according to (3) and (4), above, with $p=2 m$. The integer $k(m)$ is chosen so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f-g_{k(m)}\right\|_{L^{2}, w}^{2}-\left\|f-h_{k(m)}\right\|_{L^{2}, w}^{2} \leqslant 1 / 2^{m^{2}}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w=\left(f-g_{k(m)}\right)^{2 m-2}$. According to Theorem 1, such an integer exists. We denote by $M_{\infty}$ the set of all points in $M$ which lie nearest to $f$ in the $L^{\infty}$ norm; thus,

$$
M_{\infty}=\left\{G_{\infty}: G_{\infty} \in M,\left\|f-G_{\infty}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leqslant\|f-g\|_{L^{\infty}}, \text { for all } g \in M\right\} .
$$

We now have
Theorem 2. Let f and $M$ satisfy (1). If $M_{\infty}$ consists of only one point $G_{\infty}$, then, for any initial approximation $H_{2} \in M$, the sequence (8) converges uniformly to $G_{\infty}$. If $M_{\infty}$ consists of more than one point, then the sequence (8) is bounded and every convergent subsequence of it converges uniformly to some point of $M_{\infty}$.

Proof. From inequality (9) and Part 3 of Theorem 1, it follows that

$$
\left\|f-G_{2 m}\right\|_{L^{2}, w}^{2}-\left\|f-H_{2 m}\right\|_{L^{2}, w}^{2} \leqslant 1 / 2^{m^{2}}
$$

where $w=\left(f-g_{k(m)}\right)^{2 m-2}$. Employing the orthogonality property (5) of $H_{2 m}$, this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|H_{2 m}-G_{2 m}\right\|_{L^{2}, w}^{2} \leqslant 1 / 2^{m^{2}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w=\left[f-g_{k(m)}\right]^{2 m-2}$. It is well known (Pólya [1], see also Buck [2]) that if $M_{\infty}$ consists of only one point $G_{\infty}$, then $G_{2 m} \rightarrow G_{\infty}$. By virtue of relations (1) and (10), it is readily shown that $H_{2 m} \rightarrow G_{\infty}$, uniformly. If $M_{\infty}$ consists of more than one point, it is known that $\left\{G_{2 m}\right\}$ is bounded and every convergent subsequence of it converges to some point of $M_{\infty}$. Again using relations (1) and (10), the desired properties of $\left\{H_{2 m}\right\}$ follow readily.
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